

Form for reviewer

1. The statement of the Reviewer

I declare that I have not guessed the identity of the Author

I declare that I have guessed the identity of the Author, but there is no conflict of interest

2. Does the manuscript meet the ethical requirements?

yes

no

3. Detailed evaluation of the manuscript against other researches published to this point.

Please award the points, ticking the proper place in the following table (1-worst, 6-best): *Any paper with a note "1" should be rejected by the referee.*

- *Within the scope of the Power Electronics and Drives (weight: 1.0)*
- *Significant (not erroneous or trivial) (weight: 1.0)*
- *Novelty of the manuscript (weight: 1.0)*
- *Informative and complete abstracts (weight: 1.0)*
- *The authors cite other relevant publications (weight: 1.0)*
- *Importance of obtained results (weight: 1.0)*
- *Methods and data quality (weight: 1.0)*
- *Presentation of results (weight: 1.0)*
- *The paper is well organized (weight: 1.0)*
- *Discussion quality (weight: 1.0)*
- *English grammar and spelling are proper (weight: 1.0)*
- *Correct PED format of paper (weight: 1.0)*

4. Overall rating of the paper (1-6)

5. Would you be willing to review a revision of this manuscript?

yes

no

Confidential information for Editors:

Information for Authors: